“(f) With respect to Hunt’s current demand, the President on at least ten separate occasions during the meeting specifically urged, encourage, or (possibly) instructed that Hunt’s “financial problem” be “handled” and “damn soon” in order to “buy time.” At no time did the President state or instruct that Hunt’s demand should not be made or make a statement that he believed that to pay Hunt’s current demand, if necessary, would be unwise or improper.

(g) Following the March 21 morning meeting, Haldeman telephoned Mitchell in New York City. --/ Thereafter, Mitchell had a telephone conversation with Fred LaRue in which LaRue and Mitchell discussed Hunt’s new demand. --/ LaRue told Mitchell that Hunt was seeking $75,000 for attorney fees, and asked what to do. Mitchell told LaRue to pay the money. That evening, LaRue caused $75,000 to be delivered to Hunt’s attorney, William O. Bittman.” p. 12

(2) Theory of Criminal Liability

“[T]here is certainly sufficient evidence -- consisting of the undisputed facts listed above together with a single inference that a reasonable man could certainly draw therefrom in light of all the surrounding circumstances -- to permit a jury to conclude beyond a reasonable doubt that the President did instruct that Hunt be paid and that the President’s instructions were communicated by a direct chain of communications from Haldeman to Mitchell to LaRue, thereby becoming the direct casual force of the payment that evening.” pp. 16-17